

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
WESTERN DIVISION**

<b>In re:</b>  <b>PREMPRO PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION</b>  <b>SONDRA WELCH</b>  <b>v.</b>  <b>WYETH, et al.</b>	: : : : : : : : : : :	<b>MDL Docket No. 4:03CV01507-BRW 4:06CV00299-BRW</b>          <b>PLAINTIFF</b>          <b>DEFENDANTS</b>
---	---	--

**ORDER**

**1. Defendants’ Motion to Exclude the August 2002 Premarin Label** (Doc. No. 209) is DENIED as MOOT.

**2. Defendants’ Motion for Relief Regarding Inaccurate Representation about Dr. Allen** (Doc. No. 237) is GRANTED.<sup>1</sup>

**3. Defendants’ Motion to Exclude Undisclosed Expert Causation Opinion** (Doc. No. 245) is DENIED as MOOT.

**4. Defendants’ Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law** (Doc. No. 262) is DENIED.

**5. Plaintiff’s Motion to Strike Undisclosed Expert Testimony of Dr. Lewis Chodosh** (Doc. No. 273) is DENIED.

**6. Plaintiff’s Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Drs. Ling or Laidley on Mrs. Welch’s Alleged Use of Generic Substitutions for Provera** (Doc. No. 281) is DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27th day of August, 2012.

/s/ Billy Roy Wilson  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup>The limiting instruction reads: “Plaintiff’s counsel stated in her re-direct examination of Dr. Parisian that Defendants would call Dr. Allen as a live witness. That statement is incorrect. Before trial, I instructed the parties to reduce the number of witnesses they intended to call. Based on my instruction, Defendants indicated that they would not be calling Dr. Allen as a witness. The failure to call Dr. Allen should not be considered by you in any way.”